LA
M
CLAIM HISTORY
STOR
)RI
_ '
LA
(CLAIM
41)
_

	and .	Rejected § 103(a), Pestonik and Carrier		Office Action dated 04/04/03, Paper Number: 22	-
			a[[n]] <u>vehicle</u> engine.		
			at least one emergency response fluid delivery tank;		
			a triangular wheel base comprising a single front wheel and two opposing rear wheels;		
			comprising:	03/20/03	
		Claim Amended	41. A fire-fighting emergency response vehicle, said vehicle	Response dated	
				(not listed)	
		BOWE		Paper Number:	
	pue	Rejected § 103(a), Schaffler and		Office Action dated	
			an engine		
			and		
			a triangular wheel base; at least one emergency response fluid delivery tank;		
			comprising:	11/25/02	
		Claim Amended	41. An fire-fighting emergency response vehicle, said vehicle	Response dated	
				(not listed)	
				7/17/02,	
		Rejected § 103(a), Veath Sr.		Office Action dated	
TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700	TECHNOL		an engine.	10/17/00	
2	ر ا		and	Amendment dated	
IAN 2 7 2004	5		at least one emergency response fluid delivery tank;		
スパー・ハー・ハー・ハー・ハー・ハー・ハー・ハー・ハー・ハー・ハー・ハー・ハー・ハー	I	Original Claim Presented	41. Anewark emergency response vehicle, said vehicle comprising:	Original Claim 41	
フラミラフ		ACTION	CLAIM	E	

CLAIM HISTORY (CLAIMS 48 and 60)

limitations of the independent claim.		Paper Number: 22
independent form including all		04/04/03,
Would be allowable if written in		Office Action dated
	a[[n]] <u>vehicle</u> engine.]	
	and	
	at least one emergency response fluid delivery tank:	
	wheel and two opposing rear wheels;	
	a triangular wheel base comprising a single front	
	vehicle comprising:	
	[Claim 41 reads: A fire-fighting emergency response vehicle, said	
	movement of said vehicle.	
claim 41.	orthogonal to the axis of rotation of said front wheel for translational	
of claim 47 was incorporated into	mpris	03/20/03
Claim amended because substance	48. The vehicle of claim [[47]] 41 wherein said front wheel	Response dated
		(not listed)
		Paper Number:
cited) & Arnold (previously cited)		01/03/03,
Rejected § 103(a) Bower (previously		Office Action dated
amended)		11/25/02
Response with argument (claim not		Response dated
		(not listed)
		Paper Number:
(previously cited) & Arnold.		Action dated 08/23/02
Rejected § 103(a) Veath Sr.		Supplemental Office
claims.		,
However Examiner missed some		(not listed)
limitations of the independent claim.		Paper Number:
independent form including all		7/17/02,
Would be allowable if written in		Office Action dated
		10/17/2000
	said vehicle.	Amendment dated
	axis of rotation of said front wheel for translational movement of	Preliminary
	360 degree rotatability about an axis substantially orthogonal to the	presented in
Original Claim Presented	48. The vehicle of claim 41 wherein said front wheel comprises a	Claim 48 initially
	CLAIM HISTORY (CLAIMS 48 and 60)	

CLAIM HISTORY (CLAIMS 48 and 60)

	California anno Continuino io man co	
Response dated	60. A fire-fighting emergency response vehicle, said vehicle	Rewritten as new claim 60, continued
05/29/03	comprising:	with claim 47 and 41 because
	a triangular wheel base comprising a single front	Examiner indicated it would be
	wheel and two opposing rear wheels wherein said front wheel	allowed.
	comprises a 360° rotatability for translational movement of said	
	vehicle;	
	a driver's seat and driver's compartment;	
	at least one emergency response fluid delivery tank;	
	and	
	a vehicle engine.	
Office Action dated		Claim 60 Rejected § 103(a) Pestotnik
10/17/03,		(previously cited) & Carrier (previously
Paper Number:		cited) & Arnold (previously cited)
(not listed)		

CLAIM HISTORY (CLAIMS 55 and 76)

Claim 76 rejected § 103(a) Pestotnik (previously cited) & Carrier (previously cited) & Matsushita (previously cited).		Office Action dated 10/17/03, Paper Number: (not listed)
	and a vehicle engine; and left and right brakes wherein said brakes comprise separate controllability.	
	a triangular wheel base comprising a single front wheel and two opposing rear wheels; a driver's seat and driver's compartment; at least one emergency response fluid delivery tank;	
Rewritten as new claim 76	76. A fire-fighting emergency response vehicle, said vehicle comprising:	Response dated 05/29/03
limitations of the independent claim.		Paper Number: 22
independent form including all		Office Action dated 04/04/03,
Response with argument (claim not amended)		Response dated 03/20/03
		Paper Number: (not listed)
Rejected § 103(a) relying on Bower and Matsushita.		Office Action dated 01/03/03,
Response with argument (claim not amended)		Response dated 11/25/02
Rejected § 103(a) Veath Sr. and Matsushita.		Supplemental Office Action dated 08/23/02 Paper Number: (not listed)
Not considered though acknowledged		Office Action dated 7/17/02, Paper Number: (not listed)
	brakes wherein said brakes comprise separate controllability.	presented in Preliminary Amendment dated 10/17/00
Original Claim Presented	55. The vehicle of claim 41 further comprising left and right	Claim 55 initially

CLAIM HISTORY (CLAIMS 56 and 93)

	a words on apo none nose.	
	at least one emergency response fluid delivery tank; a vehicle engine; and	
	wheel and two opposing rear wheels; a driver's seat and driver's compartment;	(not listed)
cited) & Matsushita (previously cited).	a triangular wheel base comprising a single front	Paper Number:
(previously cited) & Carrier (previously	comprising:	10/17/03,
Claim 93 rejected § 103(a) Pestotnik	93. A fire-fighting emergency response vehicle, said vehicle	Office Action dated
allowed.		
Examiner indicated it would be		
claim 56 and 41, because the		05/29/03
Rewritten as new claim 93, continuing		Response dated
limitations of the independent claim.		Paper Number: 22
independent form including all		04/04/03,
Would be allowable if written in		Office Action dated
		03/20/03
**		Response dated
	front nose.	presented in
Original Claim Presented	56. The vehicle of claim 41 further comprising a wedge-shaped	Claim 56 initially

G:\AMDS\Johnson, Joihn Claims History Chart.doc